

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	a c			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,208	04/08/2004	Eric R. Blomiley	MI22-2519 2294	
21567 7590 05/17/2007 WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.		7	EXAMINER	
	AVENUE, SUITE 130	0	SONG, MATTHEW J	
SPOKANE, WA 99201		ART UNIT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	*		05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/822,208	BLOMILEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew J. Song	1722			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,10 and 50 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,10 and 50 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[10)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/9/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Boer (US 2006/0057826 A1) in view of Hegedus (US 6,079,874).

Do Boer teaches a deposition apparatus comprising a rotating substrate susceptor ([0039), this clearly suggests applicant's substrate susceptor being configured to spin while the substrate is received therein and to thereby spin the substrate. Do Boer also teaches heating lamps and heating the wafer to approximately the same temperature as the susceptor ([0019] and [0030]). Do Boer also teaches optical fibers are connected to a measuring device for determining temperature by measuring radiation from the backside of the wafer ([0009] and [0033]-[0036]), this clearly suggests applicant's radiation detector. Do Boer also teaches a plurality of rotating optical fibers 37,38 extending through the susceptor (Fig 3C and [0042]) and additional fibers are possible ([0036]), this clearly suggests applicant's plurality of outer rotating radiation conduits being associated with an outer of the annual regions. The plurality of rotating conduits are channeled into a single output to the control system (Fig 3C), this clearly suggests applicant's channeling radiation to a single stationary radiation conduit.

Do Boer does not teach stationary radiation conduits where a plurality of outer rotating radiation conduits being configured to channel radiation to only one of the stationary radiation conduits.

In an apparatus for accurately measuring a temperature of a substrate, note entire reference, Hegedus teaches a first and second probe to receive radiation from a substrate during thermal processing and a junction receives and combines radiation from the first and second probes (Abstract). Hegedus also teaches optic cables 202, 206 are provided to a optical junction 130 which combines and averages their outputs and the average output is transmitted via another

optical cable 212 (col 3, ln 50-65). The junction where two outputs are combined into a single output clearly suggests applicant's stationary radiation conduit and the plurality of conduits are configured to channel radiation to only one of the stationary radiation conduits. Hegedus also teaches the radiation is combined to provide an accurate representation of the temperature of a local region of the substrate by compensating for a temperature gradient between the support structure and the substrate (Abstract). Hegedus teaches a plurality of outer conduits 202, 202', 206, 206' and a plurality of junctions where the outputs are combined into a single output 212, 212' (Fig 1), which clearly suggests applicant's plurality of conduits and plurality of stationary radiation conduits because the junctions are stationary.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Do Boer by using a plurality of radiation conduits where the outputs are combined and averaged at a stationary conduit, as taught by Hegedus, to provide an accurate representation of the temperature by averaging a plurality of inputs.

Referring to the limitations in the preamble, the recitation apparatus configured to deposit material over a substantially circular semiconductor wafer substrate, the substrate being defined to comprise a plurality of annular regions extending radially inwardly of one another, has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Referring to claim 10, the combination of Do Boer and Hegedus teaches fibers ([0042]).

4. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Boer (US 2006/0057826 A1) in view of Hegedus (US 6,079,874) as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Doitel et al (US 5,944,422).

The combination of Do Boer and Hegedus teaches all of the limitations of claim 50, as discussed previously, except the rotating radiation conduits are within a shaft, wherein the stationary radiation conduits are within a receptor, and further comprising a coupling between the shaft and receptor that enables vacuum to be maintained within the shaft while the substrate is spinning.

In an apparatus for measuring temperature, note entire reference, Doitel et al teaches an optical fiber 30 extends for the complete length of a shaft 12 and terminates adjacent to a thermal detector assembly 31 (col 3, ln 1-65), this clearly suggests applicant's receptor. Doitel et al also teaches a vacuum seal at the lower end of the shaft (col 2, ln 60-67), this clearly suggests applicant's coupling enables vacuum to be maintained in the shaft. Doitel et al teaches the shaft is enclosed by a liner to protect it from the deposition of substances (col 4, ln 20-40) and the shaft is water cooled (col 2, ln 40-67). Doitel et al also teaches processing of the wafer may involve rotation of the wafer (col 5, ln 50-55), this clearly suggests applicant's vacuum is maintained within the shaft while the substrate is spinning.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Do Boer and Hegedus by enclosing the optical fibers in a

shaft, as taught by Doitel et al, to protect the optical fiber from the deposition of gases and high temperatures.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10 and 50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew J Song Examiner Art Unit 1722

MJS May 10, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER